

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/648,271	ESTAKHRI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Denise Tran	2185

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Denise Tran.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas Haverstock (Reg. No. 32,571).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

#### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

24-28, 55, 56, and 59

Prior art documents discussed:

#### **Part II.**

##### **SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*page 7, including claims 24-28 of the amendment after final filed 7/31/06 was missing; Also, Mr. Haverstock agreed to amend claims 55, 56, and 59 as stated in the examiner amendment and to submit the missing page 7.*

#### **Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)